

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/662,526	09/16/2003	Masahide Okazaki	65326-030	1699
7590 03/04/2005			EXAMINER	
McDermott, Will & Emery			MACK, RICKY LEVERN	
600 13th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005-3096			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2873	
			DATE MAILED: 03/04/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/662,526	OKAZAKI, MASAHIDE			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Ricky L Mack	2873			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 December 2001. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 					
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 12-30 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 9-11 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 13 September 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 0304.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other: <u>Detailed Acti</u>	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I claims 1-11 in the reply filed on 12/14/04 is acknowledged.
- 2. Claims 12-30 have been withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 12/14/04.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Musk (4983009).

Musk discloses, as in claim 1, an optical element module (see fig. 2) comprising: a base part (4) to which a predetermined reference optical axis is relatively fixed; an optical element (3) positioned with respect to said reference optical axis, being out of contact with said base part; and solder (5) interposed between said optical element and said base part, for fixing said optical element onto said base part.

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 2873

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ishimaru (6690708).

Ishimaru discloses, as in claim 1, an optical element module (see figs. 1A & 1B) comprising: a base part (2) to which a predetermined reference optical axis is relatively fixed; an optical element (3) positioned with respect to said reference optical axis, being out of contact with said base part; and solder (10) interposed between said optical element and said base part, for fixing said optical element onto said base part.

Ishimaru discloses, as in claim 2, wherein said base part (2) is a part, which is fixed to another optical element (8), which determines said reference optical axis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 3-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishimaru (6690708).

Ishimaru discloses the claimed invention except for the lens or said another optical element being a collimator (claim 3), a semiconductor LED (claim 4), an optical waveguide

Application/Control Number: 10/662,526

Art Unit: 2873

element (claim 5), a microlens array (claim 6), an optical waveguide element (claim 7 by 6) or an optical fiber (claim 8).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the above limitations of claims 3-8 described above in optical device such as disclosed by Ishimaru for the purpose of mounting the various described optical element above for which the intended use is well known in the art and furthermore, it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. *Ex parte Masham*, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 9. Claims 9-11 are allowed.
- 10. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowability: The prior art taken either singularly or in combination fails to anticipate or fairly suggest the limitations of the independent claim(s), in such a manner that a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102 or 103 would be proper. The prior art fails to teach a combination of all the claimed features as presented in claim(s) 9-11, wherein an optical element module comprises a base part to which a plurality of reference optical axes are relatively fixed; a plurality of optical elements positioned with respect to the plurality of optical reference axes being out of contact with the base; and solder interposed between each of the plurality of optical elements and the base parts for fixing each optical element to the base part, as claimed. The combination of all the claimed features are not

Page 5

Art Unit: 2873

anticipated or made obvious by the prior art and all of said features are relied upon for a determination of allowability.

Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following references are cited for disclosing a substrate which is fixed to a lens mount by solder or (laser) welding: Ohtsuki et al. (5793540), Miyokawa et al. (20020005522), Ishimaru (20020039373), Miyokawa et al. (20040033034), .

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ricky L. Mack whose telephone number is (571) 272-2333. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (6:30 AM to 4:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Georgia Y. Epps can be reached on (571) 272-2328. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/662,526

Art Unit: 2873

OKAZAKI, MASAHIDE

Page 6

Ricky L Mack

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2873

RM

March 2, 2005